

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/961,163 | 09/21/2001 | Katherine E. Fisher | PC10667AGPR | 4614 |
| 759 | 90 02/11/2003 | | | |
| Gregg C. Benson | | | EXAMINER | |
| Pfizer Inc. Patent Department, MS 4159 | | | QIAN, CELINE X | |
| Eastern Point Ro | | | | |
| Groton, CT 06340 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1636 | (1 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003 | 4 |
| | | | | \cup |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/961,163 | FISHER ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| - | Celine X Qian | 1636 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover she | et with the correspondence ad | ldress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become | nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this or me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | is action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a | | | e merits is | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray | vn from consideration | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | section requirement. | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S | s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. | eau (PCT Rule 17.2) | a)). | Stage | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | • | | application) | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | visional application ha | as been received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | o priority under 35 U.S | 5.0. 33 120 dilu/01 121. | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notic | view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e of Informal Patent Application (PTo :: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a method of preparing a gene vector by homologous recombination in yeast, classified in class 435, subclass 254.2.
- II. Claims 10-13, drawn to a method of preparing gene targeted mammalian cells having a targeted gene mutation, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- III. Claims 14 and 15, drawn to a method of making gene-targeted mouse, classified in class 800, subclass 22.
- IV. Claims 16-20, drawn to a gene-targeting vector, classified in class 536, subclass23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other for following reasons.

The inventions of Groups I-III are patentably distinct because the inventions are drawn to methods that require different starting material and modes of operation. Each method comprises distinct steps. Therefore, the invention of Groups I-III are patentably distinct.

Inventions IV and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, other process such as molecular cloning can make the gene-targeting vector. Therefore, the invention of Groups IV and I are patentably distinct.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,163

Art Unit: 1636

Inventions IV and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of Group II can be practiced by a gene-targeting vector having homologous sequence to the target gene and a mammalian selection marker. Therefore, the inventions of Groups IV and II are patentably distinct.

Inventions IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of Group III can be practiced by a gene-targeting vector having homologous sequence to the target gene and a mammalian selection marker. Therefore, the inventions of Groups IV and III are patentably distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A search of the subject matter of one invention would not be coextensive with a search of the other invention, and therefore the search would be burdensome. Each invention is capable of supporting a separate patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celine X Qian whose telephone number is 703-306-0283. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,163

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Remy Yucel Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular

communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Celine Qian, Ph.D. January 30, 2003

ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anne-Marie Falk

Page 4